

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

ICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
9/936,634		06/04/2002	Larry Rushefsky	IO-1013US	8725	
24923	7590	03/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PAUL S	MADAN	•	BELLAMY,	BELLAMY, TAMIKO D		

PAUL S MADAN MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC 2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700 HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130

2856

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A 1: 4(-)	<del></del>			
-		Application No					
		09/936,634	RUSHEFSKY ET	AL. (py			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tamiko D. Bella	·				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - External form of the control o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory miny period will apply and will expire, by statute, cause the application	rever, may a reply be timely filed  nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>06 January</u> 2006.					
•	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>9-45</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>36 and 38-45</u> is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>9-14,17,19-23,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>18,24,25 and 28-35</u> is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	2001 is/are: a) ☐ accep on to the drawing(s) be held e correction is required if t	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been reconcuments have been reconthered the priority documents had bureau (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application No nave been received in this Nationa 2(a)).	al Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	<sup>-</sup> O/SB/08) 5) <u>└</u>	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT) Other:	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/936,634 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a control circuit coupled to the housing for controlling the sensor module (See fig. 2) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2856

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The words "a housing for receiving a sensor" are vague and unclear. Line 8 of claim 9, discloses "a sensor module within the housing including a plurality of sensor packages". It is unclear as to weather the housing of the sensor module is a second housing for encasing the sensor module and which in turn in placed inside of a first housing. (See Fig. 2).

# Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. Line 1, insert after the word "wherein", to –each of--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9-14, 17, 19-23, 26, and 27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose et al. (5,898,218) in view of Saito et al. (JP05072227A).

Re claim 9, as depicted in figs 2, 4, and 5, Hirose et al. discloses a housing (e.g., ceramic package having a cavity (5) for receiving a sensor (acceleration sensor chip 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/936,634

Art Unit: 2856

As depicted in figs. 2 and 4, Hirose et al. discloses the housing (e.g., ceramic package includes one or more parallel planar surfaces, a bottom surface of the cavity, a top exterior surface, one or more side surfaces, one or more bond pads (e.g., die bond material 20) on one of the parallel planar surfaces. As depicted in fig. 4, Hirose et al. discloses the housing includes one or more bond pads on the bottom exterior surface, the top exterior surface, and the side surfaces. Hirose et al. discloses a housing (e.g. ceramic package (4) including ceramic sheets (10-10e)), and a sensor module (e.g., acceleration sensor module 1) coupled to a substrate (e.g., printed circuit 2). Hirose et al. discloses a control circuit (e.g., IC controlling circuit 8) coupled to the housing (e.g., ceramic package 4). Hirose et al. lacks the detail of the sensor module having a plurality of sensor packages, and each sensor package having an axis of sensitivity positioned in a different spatial direction. As depicted in fig. 1, Saito et al. discloses a sensor module within a housing (e.g., case 6) including a plurality of sensor packages (e.g., acceleration detecting elements 2) having an axis of sensitivity positioned in a different spatial direction. Therefore, to modify Hirose et al. by employing a sensor module with a plurality of sensor packages would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Saito et al. teaches an acceleration detector having theses design characteristics. The skilled artisan would be motivated to combine the teachings of Hirose et al. and Saito et al. since Hirose et al. states that his invention is applicable to acceleration sensor and Saito et al. is directed to an acceleration detector.

Re claim 10, Hirose et al. discloses that the sensor module (1) comprises at least one micro-machined accelerometer (acceleration sensor chip 6).

Re claim 11, Hirose et al. discloses that the sensor module (1) comprises at least one micro-machined accelerometer (acceleration sensor chip 6). Hirose lacks the detail of the sensor module comprising three micro-machined accelerometers positioned such that the axed of sensitivity are orthogonal to each other. Saito et al discloses a sensor module including a three micro-machined accelerometers (e.g., acceleration detections elements (2) formed by using the micromachining technique). Therefore, to modify Hirose et al. by employing a sensor module with three micro-machined accelerometers would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Saito et al. teaches an acceleration detector having theses design characteristics. The skilled artisan would be motivated to combine the teachings of Hirose et al. and Saito et al. since Hirose et al. states that his invention is applicable to acceleration sensor and Saito et al. is directed to an acceleration detector.

Re claim 12, Hirose et al. discloses the control circuit (e.g., controlling IC chip 8), which is equivalent to an application specific integrated circuit.

Re claim 13, as depicted in fig. 4, Hirose discloses a sensor module (1) monolithic package selected from a group of hollow frame.

Re claim 14, Hirose et al. discloses a senor (e.g., acceleration sensor chip 6)) coupled to the sensor package. Hirose et al. lacks the detail of sensor packages. As depicted in fig. 1, Saito et al. discloses a sensor module within a housing (e.g., case 6) including a plurality of sensor packages (e.g., acceleration detecting elements 2). Therefore, to modify Hirose et al. by employing a sensor module with a plurality of sensor packages would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 09/936,634

Art Unit: 2856

the invention since Saito et al. teaches an acceleration detector having theses design characteristics. The skilled artisan would be motivated to combine the teachings of Hirose et al. and Saito et al. since Hirose et al. states that his invention is applicable to acceleration sensor and Saito et al. is directed to an acceleration detector.

Re claim 17, Hirose et al. discloses the housing (e.g., ceramic package (4)) having resilient couplings with a cross sectional shape that is approximately rectangular.

Re claims 19 and 20, Hirose et al. discloses resilient couplings are positioned at one or more ends of the bottom surface of the cavity.

Re claim 21, Hirose et al. discloses resilient couplings are positioned at the approximate center of the bottom surface of the cavity of the housing.

Re claim 22, Hirose et al. discloses a recess in the bottom surface of the cavity for receiving resilient couplings.

Re claim 23, Hirose et al. discloses resilient couplings are positioned at the approximate center of the recess of bottom surface of the cavity.

Re claim 26, Hirose et al. discloses the sensor (6) includes one bond pad (e.g. die bond material 20) for coupling the sensor (6) to the housing.

Re claim 27, as depicted in fig. 2, Hirose et al. discloses the bond pads (e.g., die bond material 20) have a cross sectional shape selected from a group consisting of approximately rectangular.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,634 Page 7

Art Unit: 2856

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 18, 24, 25, 28-35, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 8. Claims 36, and 38-45 allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claim 36, the independent claim includes "dispensing adhesive on the housing, placing the controller onto the adhesive, and encapsulating the controller and the wire bonds with an encapsulant; and curing the encapsulant "in combination with the remaining claim limitation is not taught and/or made obvious by the prior art. Hirose et al., considered closest to related art discloses a controller (e.g., controlling IC chip 8) inside of the housing (e.g., ceramic package 4). Hirose et al. does not teach dispensing adhesive on the housing, placing the controller onto the adhesive, and encapsulating the controller and the wire bonds with the encapsulant, and curing the encapsulant.

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-14, 17-36, and 38-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is the Examiners position that claims 9-14, 17, 19-23, 26, and 27are not patentable in view of the newly applied art of Hirose et al. (5,898,218) in view of Saito et al. (JP05072227A).

Application/Control Number: 09/936,634 Page 8

Art Unit: 2856

#### Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamiko D. Bellamy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamiko Bellamy

March 6, 2006

HEZRÓN WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800